1	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	BENJAMIN P. SMITH (pro hac vice) JOHN A. POLITO (pro hac vice)
3	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: 702.382.7300	SHARON R. SMITH (pro hac vice) One Market, Spear Street Tower
4	Facsimile: 702.382.2755	San Francisco, CA 94105
5	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 415.442.1000 Facsimile: 415.442.1001
6	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP	benjamin.smith@morganlewis.com john.polito@morganlewis.com
7	WILLIAM A. ISAACSON (pro hac vice)	sharon.smith@morganlewis.com
	KAREN DUNN (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 2001 K Street, NW	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice)
8	Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: 202.223.7300	JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice) ORACLE CORPORATION
9	Facsimile: 202.223.7420 wisaacson@paulweiss.com	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070
10	kdunn@paulweiss.com	Telephone: 650.506.4846
11		Facsimile: 650.506.7114 dorian.daley@oracle.com
12		jim.maroulis@oracle.com
13		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle
14		International Corp.
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	DISTRICT OF	NEVADA
18	ORACLE USA, INC.; a Colorado corporation;	Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-VCF
18 19	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	[PROPOSED] ORDER
	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation,	
19	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S MARCH 31, 2021
19 20	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF
19 20 21	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S MARCH 31, 2021
19 20 21 22	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S MARCH 31, 2021
19 20 21 22 23 24	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S MARCH 31, 2021
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S MARCH 31, 2021
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S MARCH 31, 2021
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.; a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California corporation, Plaintiffs, v. RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE COURT'S MARCH 31, 2021

1	Before the Court is Rimini's Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's March 31, 2021	
2	Order and Oracle's Response. Having considered the parties' submissions, the Court amends	
3	its March 31, 2021 Order (ECF No. 1459, the "Order") as follows:	
4	• Page 17, lines 17–22: The Court removes the sentences beginning with "Because the	
5	Court finds" and amends this portion of the Order to state as follows: "Although Rimini's	
6	development of HCM104286 occurred before the Injunction, the Court holds that similar	
7	conduct occurring after the Injunction is grounds for contempt."	
8	• Page 18, lines 12 and 17–23: The Court replaces "this conduct" appearing on line 12 with	
9	"such conduct." The Court removes the sentences beginning with "Because the Court	
10	finds" and amends this portion of the Order to state as follows: "Although Rimini's	
11	development of HCM104288 occurred before the Injunction, the Court holds that similar	
12	conduct occurring after the Injunction is grounds for contempt."	
13	• Page 19, lines 11–12: The Court replaces "neither believes" with "Rimini does not	
14	believe."	
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17		
18	Dated:, 2021	
19		
20		
21	Honorable Larry R. Hicks United States District Judge	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIMINI'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDER ATION OF THE	